International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

<u>, *</u>-

C.M.1971/B:9 Gear and Bohaviour Committee



FISHING UNIT MEASURES

(The second supplemented and revised report)

by

A. I. Treschev*

The analysis of the system of the fishing unit measures worked out in 1969 for trawl, purse seine and drift net fisheries showed that the system of measuring where the same units are used for all fishing methods will have very great advantages. Based on this conclusion, we tried to work out and to apply the same units of fishing power, fishing effort, efficiency and intensity for beach seine, set gill net, long line and others used within the modern fishery, as for the three methods of fishing considered earlier. With this aim we divide all fishing gears into the following three groups:-

- 1. Gears the fishing power of which is defined directly;
- 2. Gears the fishing power of which is defined experimentally;
- 3. Gears the fishing power of which is defined by comparison of catches.

The value of fishing power is the same for all fishing gears, i.e. the volume of water swept per unit of time.

The first group, in addition to trawls, purse seines and drift nets already considered, includes all dragged fishing gears. The characteristic feature of the fishing gears of this group lies in the fact that the volume of water swept by them can be directly counted by their dimensions and parameters of the fishing exploitation.

The second group includes the fishing gears within which the volume of the water swept depends considerably on the radius of action of the devices or factors employed for the attraction of fish to the fishing area. The attraction of fish to fishing gears by means of electric light has been studied intensively, and this method is now widely used in combination with lifting nets (veranda traps) and fish pumps. For different items of fishing and sources of electric light the radius of the sphere of fish attraction is determined experimentally. Thus, for example, it was found that this radius at the sources of electric light used in the Caspian kilka fishery equals 20 m, and amounts to 25-35 m in the Far East saury fishery.

The fishing power of the fishing gears employed in conjunction with the devices for the attraction of fish in the fishing area provided the radius of action of the attractive factor is known, can be defined by the product of the vater volume contained in the sphere of attraction, by the coefficient of fishing continuity.

The coefficient of the fishing continuity is assumed to be the relation of the time of action of the attractive factor in the course of a given fishing period (for example, a night) to the general duration of the period.

Dr. A. I. Treschev, VMIRO, V.-Krasnoselskaya 17, Moscov B-140, USSR.

The third group comprises the fishing gears that according to present knowledge can neither be related to the first mr to the second group, because the actual volume of water swept by them has not, for some reason or other, yielded a precise definition. It is proposed to determine the fishing power of these gears in an indirect way, i.e. by comparing the catches made by these fishing gears with the catches taken by the other fishing gears for which the volume of water swept is known. Thus, for example, if the catch of a drift not = x, the volume of water swept per unit of time = Vx, and the catch by the same set single-walled gill net when fishing the same items is y, then there are good reasons to believe that the unknown water volume (V_y) , swept by a set gill not equals:

$$V_y = \frac{y v_x}{x}$$

In other words, we assume that the fishing power of the set gill nots will differ from the fishing power of drift nets so many times as the average catches of the former gears are more or less than the latter gears.

It is evidently possible with this method to define not only the fishing power of the same type of fishing gears, as in the example above, but also of all other secondary fishing gears founded on different principles of fishing, for instance, nets in comparison with hooks, nets in comparison with traps etc. It is only necessary to remember that in this case not the actual volume of the water swept is taken into consideration, but a certain conventional value is needed to express the fishing power of all fishing gears in commensurable units.

Examples of the estimation of the fishing power for all fishing gears of the third group are given below.

A. Set gill nets

In order to determine fishing power of a set gill not we compare its catches with the catches of the same drift not: the annual catch in centners per drift net averaged over the USSR (by years) is as follows:

					Average for
1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	5 years
7.03	7.07	6.83	7.26	8.02	7.24

The average catch per set single-walled gill net of the same dimensions $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}}$ makes up 1.78 centners.

 Λ drift not 30 m by 12 m per 1 000 m drift sweeps the volume of water: $V_{\mathbf{y}}$ equal to:

$$V_y = 30 \times 12 \times 1000 = 36000 \text{ m}^3$$
.

Hence, the equivalent volume of water swept by a set gill not, Vx, can be determined according to the formula:

$$Vx = \frac{q_x \cdot V_y}{q_y} = \frac{1.78 \times 36000}{7.24} = 8830.$$

This volume equals approximately the cylinder volume, the base of which is a circle with a diameter equal to the length of the net and the height equal to its height, i.e.:

$$V = \frac{\text{II } 1^2 \text{ a}}{4} = \frac{3.14 \times 30^2 \times 12}{4} = 8.478$$

Thus, proceeding from the ratio of catches, the following formula can be used for the definition of the fishing power of set gill nets:

$$V = \frac{\pi l^2 na}{dt} \qquad \dots \tag{1}$$

where

1 = length (m)

a = height (m)

n = number of nets

t = time of fishing (days).

B. Traps

In a number of cases we can find examples of simultaneous application of traps and set gill nets when fishing the same items. Thus, for instance, in the Don area of the Azov Sea set traps and set gill nets were used in the bass and bream fishery for a long period. The nets measure 20 m in length and 3 m in height.

There are three categories of set traps, the length of a guiding wing being 30, 80 and 150 m, respectively, the average height being 3 m.

Both fishing gears are used annually at the same places and at the same time: in spring from 15 March to 20 April, and in autumn from 1 October to 20 December.

In the years from 1946 to 1955, i.e. in the period of the most intensive use of these fishing gears in the Don area of the Azov Sea, the annual catch amounted to:

I. Per net, 20 x 30 m 3 c

II. Per trap (having a single heart), the length of the wing being 30 m 20 c

III. Per trap (having a single heart), the length of the wing being 80 m 50 c

IV. Per trap (having two hearts), the length of the wing being 150 m 160 c

Provided that the lengths of orders of set gill nets are equal to the lengths of sea guiding wings of traps, the ratio of their catches is as follows:-

$$\frac{\text{II}}{\text{I}} = \frac{20}{4.5} = 4.4; \quad \frac{\text{III}}{\text{I}} = \frac{.50}{.12} = 4.2; \quad \frac{\text{IV}}{\text{I}} = \frac{160}{22.5} = 7.1$$

The volume of water swept when estimated according to the formulas:-

$$V = \frac{\Pi 1^2 \text{ na}}{4} \qquad \text{(for nots)}$$

 $V = \frac{\Pi_1 2}{4}$ (for traps) comes to the following:

$$\frac{\text{II}}{\text{I}} = \frac{2\ 120}{480} = 4.4; \quad \frac{\text{III}}{\text{I}} = \frac{15\ 072}{3\ 768} = 4.0; \quad \frac{\text{IV}}{\text{I}} = \frac{52\ 987}{7\ 065} = 7.5$$

As is seen, the corresponding ratios of the catches and the volumes of water swept by traps and set gill nets are close to each other. Proceeding from this we may assume that the fishing power of traps with a precision sufficient for practical estimations can be expressed by the following formula:

$$V (trap) = \frac{q (trap) \cdot V cm.c}{q cm.c t} \simeq \frac{\Pi_1^2 a}{4t} \qquad (2)$$

C. Hooked fishing gears

In the 30's set gill nets and long-lines were rather widely used in the cod fishery at the Murman coast of the Barents Sea.

Till now, the fishery of spring spawning cod (skrey) in Norway has been made by set gill nets and hooked fishing gears (lines and long-lines). In 1961, for example, 53.1% of the total catch of these fish were caught by nets, and 36.9% by hooked fishing gears. Recently, the specific weight of nets and hooks in the skrey fishery has decreased somewhat, but, nevertheless, these gears remain the essential ones up till now. Skrey catch per fisherman amounted to:

by nots in 1959 - 62.4 c; by nots in 1961 - 47.0 c by hooks in 1959 - 53.6 c; by hooks in 1961 - 64.2
$$c^{x}$$
)

According to previous data, the catch in 1969 per fisherman engaged in the line and long-line fishery was approximately 5 centners higher than the catch taken in the net fishery. Thus, if we take an average of many-year figures, it appears that the catches per fisherman in net, line and long-line fisheries respectively are about the same.

A Normegian set gill not for cod fishing is 28 m long, height in setting being 5 m. The fishing power of such a not is:

$$V = \frac{\Pi \ 1^2 \text{ na}}{4} = \frac{3.14 \times 28^2 \times \Pi \times 3}{4} = 1.846 \text{ m}^3.$$

If we try to determine the fishing power of long-lines, we suppose in the first instance that the distance between the hooks of long-lines proceeding from their catchability has been properly selected. Then each hook may be considered as a centre, and a ganging as a radius of the water volume swept, i.e.:-

$$V hook = \frac{4}{3} \Pi R^3 \qquad (3)$$

The length of gangings in the Norwegian cod long-lines (R) is 0.46 m. Substituting this value in the formula we then see that one hook of such a long-line has to sweep a volume of water equal to:

V hook =
$$\frac{4 \cdot \Pi \times 0.46^3}{3}$$
 0.41 m³

x) KUZMICHEV, A. B., 1964. Fishing industry of Norway. Ed. by VNIRO.

EX) FAO Catalogue of Fishing Gear Designs, No.408, Rome, 1965.

Having divided the volume of water swept by one set gill net by 0.41, we then get:-

$$\frac{1840}{0.41} = 4488$$

i.e., one net corresponds to approximately 4 500 hooks.

The Norwegian cod long-line consists of 16 200 hooks and is served by five fishermen, and consequently each fisherman serves:

$$16\ 200\ \text{hooks}$$
 : $5\ =\ 3\ 240\ \text{hooks}$

The number of nets per one fisherman averages 7.

At the same time the catches per one fisherman in the net and hook fishery, as shown above, are approximately equal. This means that the radius of the spherical volume of water swept by one hook approximately is not equal to the length of the ganging, but somewhat longer.

Let us determine this radius on the assumption that the catches and the volumes of water swept remain the same, and at the same time taking into account the number of fishing gears served by one fisherman:

hence,

$$7 \text{ V}_{\text{set gill net}} = \frac{4}{3} \text{ } \Pi \text{ } \text{R}^3 \text{ } 3 \text{ } 240$$

or

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{3 \times 7 \text{ V set gill not}}{4 \times 3 240}}$$

Then, using constant numerical values we get:

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{3 \times 1846 \times 7}{4 \times 3.14 \times 3.240}} = 0.984 \text{ m}$$

Having placed the thus obtained value of R in the formula (3), we find that one hook of long-lines and lines in the skrey fishery sweeps a volume of water equal to:

$$V_{hook} = \frac{4}{3} \Pi R^3 = \frac{4}{3} 3.14 \times 0.9526 = 3.9882 \approx 4.0 \text{ m}^3$$

Therefore, proceeding from the ratio of catches, one may assume that the fishing power of hooked fishing gears can be defined according to the following formula:

$$V_{\text{hook}} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\Pi R^3 n}{t}$$

where,

R = radius of the fishing sphere found by the abovementioned method, and

n = number of hooks.

In this estimation we used catches per fisherman. The task might obviously have been solved in a much simpler way if the direct data on catches per net, long-line or hook had been available.

Since set gill nets are very widely distributed and easily accessible fishing gears, the determination of the fishing power of any coastal fishing gear by comparison of catches offers no difficulty.

As to the reduction of fishing power in various kinds of fisheries to the common index, we shall not only define the total fishing effort in the socalled 'mixed fishery', but also differentiate it according to the kind of fishery.

As a result of dividing all fishing gears into three groups and expressing the fishing power by the above-mentioned methods, we managed to perfect considerably the system of the units worked out in 1969 and to use it for all kinds of fishery with no exception. The summary table of the methods on the definition of the fishing power of different fishing gears is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

As a common unit for the fishing power for all fishing gears the power at which $1.000.000 \text{ m}^3$ of water is swept per unit of time is assumed. The establishment of this value of fishing power unit was based on the estimation given below.

The fishing power of the most widely distributed modern trawl (horizontal opening 37.5 m, vertical mouth 3.9 m, speed of trawling 4 knots, i.e. approximately 2 m per second) per hour of trawling (3 600 seconds) makes up:

$$37.5 \times 3.9 \times 2 \times 3600 = 1053000 = 10^6 \text{ m}^3$$

This is the value that has been assumed as a unit.

The determinations of the fishing power, fishing efficiency and the intensity for all this remained as previously (see 1970, Special Meeting on Measurement of Fishing Effort, Doc.No.2), with the only difference that these indices in all kinds of fishery were also measured by the same units.

A unit of the fishing effort is the product of the fishing power by the time of fishing during a 24-hour period; the time of fishing in all cases in the period within which a fishing gear is actively fishing. For the different fishing gears this time is defined in the following way:-

Travls -	Trawls - number of hours trawling 24 hours				
Purse soines -	Time from the beginning of shooting till the end of pursing in hours		x	Number of shootings	
	24 hours				
Traps and other stationary fishing - number of days fished gears					
Drift fishing gears	Number of drifts	IC	_	e duration t (hour)	of
24 hours					
Fish pumps and lifting fishing gears applied with fish attracting devices to a fishing area		time of action fish attracting devices per cyc (hour)	3	x	Number of fishing cycles
			24 i	iours	-

Other fishing gears - time of active fishing per day

The fishing efficiency, as found earlier, is the ratio of the catch for a period of fishing to the fishing effort for the same period. Hence, a unit of fishing efficiency would be expressed as follows:-

Tons

If the catch is averaged over a sufficiently long period of time, the unit of fishing efficiency for each class (group) of gear will accumulate all the peculiarities of the fishing process, including fish behaviour and the organisation, technique and tactics of fishing. Unlike the measures used, such as eatch per unit time, eatch per unit tennage, etc., which are not connected with the principle of fishing, the new measure of fishing efficiency will incorporate both the effect of fishing and the degree of perfection of the fishing technique. With unchanged fishing technique, the fishing power remains the same and the fishing efficiency of the gear will change proportionately with the changes in the stock. Considering that the fishing efficiency is based on actual catch data over a long period of time, it is also more accurate than other estimates arrived at theoretically on the basis of various assumptions concerning fish behaviour.

When changing (perfecting) the designs of fishing gears or the methods of their application, and provided the fishing power remains constant, then the average efficiency for a rather long period of time will permit to judge as to the efficiency of the innovations. Thus, such perfections in the travil fishery, for example, as the use of cables, electric current, concentrating the fish in the travil mouth, as well as the towing of a travil from two vessels, the use of hydroacoustics etc. in the given system do not relate to the means of increasing the fishing power, as was sometimes noticed in the past, but relate to the methods increasing their efficiency.

When proceeding from fishing effort and fishing efficiency it is possible to determine for practical purposes, and with a quite sufficient accuracy, the fishing intensity, differently called "the intensity of fishing". $^{\times}$)

So far there has been no clear definition of the notion "the intensity of fishing", as well as of other fishing parameters. The fishing intensity was understood by some to be the ratio of the square swept to the square of the surface or the bottom of the waterbody (geometrical intensity of fishing), while others characterized the fishing intensity as being the number of vessels participating in the fishery, fishing gears, time etc. The lack of an agreed viewpoint as to the understanding of such an important term as "the fishing intensity" creates great difficulties in the analyses of the fishing effect on the stock, as well as in the solution of many operative problems of fishery regulations.

In the given system of units, fishing intensity means the ratio of the water volume swept to the general/area of distribution of the species fished. Therefore, the fishing intensity is a sizeless value.

For the species, on which fishing intensity is rather high, and of which the fishing areas are clearly restricted (for instance, flounders of all areas, the species fished on the Great Newfoundland Bank, cod off Lofoten Islands and Iceland, see bass on the Kopytov Bank etc.), both values are commensurable, and it presents no difficulty to determine the fishing intensity. For the species on which the fishery is only slightly developed, and of which the areas of distribution are either unknown or too vest, it is still difficult to define the true fishing intensity by means of the above-mentioned method. The fishing intensity in these areas can be roughly expressed by the product of the fishing effort by the sizeless coefficient of catchability (in cases where the coefficient of the catchability of fishing gears is unknown). The value characterizing the fishing intensity will in this case be expressed in units of the fishing effort.

m) Fishing intensity should not be confounded with the intensity of the catch which is the relation of catch to stock.

If the coefficient of the catchability of fishing gears is unknown and cannot be determined, the fishing intensity can be characterized by the product of the fishing effort by the dimensional value - the fishing efficiency. This product, as we can see, is expressed in units of weight, i.e. is nothing more than a catch.

In order to characterize the fishing intensity of the items dispersed over vast areas, the method of comparison of the actual catches with the value of the optimal catch may also be employed, provided that this value is known or can be defined. In this case, the fishing intensity, as in the case of the volumes relation, will be expressed by sizeless values.

In future, however, it is necessary, with the development of the fishery, to aim at using the only method for determination of the fishing intensity, namely the true intensity of fishing that is the relation of the water volume swept to the volume of the distribution of the fishing items.

Men turning from the fishing indices (power, effort, efficiency, intensity) of certain fishing gears to the summary indices of the work of the fishing flect and flotillas, the above units for measuring the fishing would be too small; therefore, in addition to the initial unit of the fishing power equal to 10° and the units for measuring other parameters corresponding to it, it is advisable to have also units which are 10, 100 and 1 000 times as much. Then, if we take specific rominations and designations, we will get the measuring system of the indices mentioned as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Scale of fishing unit measures.

Name	Purpose	Abbreviation	Dimension	Fraction of vasic
Promm	Measure	bur	10 ⁹ m ³ 24 hours	Ì
Decipromm	of	dpm	10 ⁸ m ³ 24 hours	1:10
Centipromm	fishing	cpm	107 m ³	1:100
Millipromm	power	npm	10 ⁶ m ³ 24 hours	1: 1000
Promus	Measure	שמ	109 m ³	l
Decipromus	of	$ ext{dpy}$	103 m3	1:10
Centipromus	fishing	сру	10^7 m^3	1:100
Millipromus	cffort	mpu	10 ⁶ m ³	1: 1000
Promef	Measure	pe	t 109 m3	1
Decipromef	of	dpe	t 108 m3	1:10
Contipromef	fishing	сре	t 107 m3	1:100
Millipromef	officiency	mpc	t 10 ⁶ m ³	1:1 000
Pròmin	Measure	pi	$\frac{10^9 \text{ m}^3}{10^9 \text{ m}^3} = \text{siz}$	
Decipromin	of	dpi	$\frac{10^8 \text{ m}^3}{10^8 \text{ m}^3} = \text{si}$	zeless l:10
Centipromin	fishing	cpi '	$\frac{107 \text{ m}^3}{107 \text{ m}^3} = \text{si}$	zeless l:100
Millipromin	intensity	mpi	$\frac{10^6 \text{ m}^3}{10^6 \text{ m}^3} = \text{si}$	zeless l:l 000

The general assessment of the fishing power becomes much simpler with such a selection of the units scale.

For the full and uniform assessment of all kinds of fishery made by the various modern devices the following data must be available:-

1. The fishing power of the fishing gears

For each fishing gear of the industrial fishery the fishing power should be established by one of the above-mentioned methods and should be indicated in its 'passport' (for the industrial methods of fishing) or directly in the nomination. For example; trap mpm - 7.2, i.e. the trap that fishes $7.2 \times 10^6 \, \text{m}^3$ water per 24 hours of continuous fishing.

2. The number of fishing gears, and 3. The number of days fished

For trawl fishing gears it is more convenient to carry out the collection of data in hours of trawling and then to convert them into days.

For pursing, drift fishing gears and gears applied with the devices for attraction of fish to the fishing area, as well as for travel gears, it is necessary to introduce the count of the active working time of the fishing gears measured in hours and to registrate it in the boat logs. The summary number of fishing hours divided by 24 will characterize, in this case, the number of fishing days.

For the approximate count of the fishing time by these fishing gears the product of the average duration of their active work per cycle by the number of cycles can be used.

In the first case it is sufficient to collect the data only on the number of fishing hours, while in the second case, the data on the average duration of fishing per cycle in hours and on the number of cycles will be needed.

For the stationary fishing gears the data on the number of days spent in fishing are sufficient.

For all other fishing gears the data on the time of active fishing per day will suffice.

4. The catch

The catch for all fishing gears should be represented by species of fish in tons with an indication of the time and place to which this catch relates. In order to facilitate the collection of data and to increase the precision of the fishery data, it would be advisable to elaborate and to have in the fishing vessels the automatic devices for the registration of the following parameters:

- 1. Humber of hours' traviling
- 2. Time of active operation of purse seines
- 3. Duration and length of drifts of the vessels with fishing gears
- 4. Duration of the action of the fishing stimulators (light, electric current and so on).

All these devices can apparently be very simple and cheap; however, their application will allow to increase greatly the quality of the count in the fishery and to bring it to a really scientific base.

This, in turn, opens the possibility of the more concrete management of fishing efforts in the fishery, creates the conditions for the considerable simplification of the scientific fishery statistics and for the wider application of computing technique.

Besides, obtaining the united quantitative assessment of the species, all together and each one separately, under modern conditions is very important for the study of the interrelation between the stock and the fishery. It is also of great importance for the elaboration of the theory of the optimal catch and for the solution of other scientific problems.

This method allows the determination in comparable units of the fishing power and other characteristics of fishing in earlier years. The use of this method is of special interest as to the estimation of the dynamics of fishing efficiency in relation to the dynamics of fishing effort in the most important fishing areas.

An important advantage of the proposed system of units for measuring fishing lies in the fact that it may be used to provide the basis for the quantitative characteristics of the operation of a fleet in working out future plans on the basis of the rational exploitation of the stock and fishing technique.

The unification of fishing unit measures is at present equally important both for technical and for biological purposes.

Fishing gear	Diagram	Formula		
TRAWLS	3	V=aBS		
PURSE		$V = \frac{\ell^2 \alpha}{4\pi}$		
DRIFT NETS		V=alnS		
DRAGGED FISHING GEARS	2 5	1. $V = \frac{\alpha \ell^2}{\pi l}$ $2.V = \frac{\alpha \ell^2}{\ell l} + \frac{\alpha l S}{\ell l}$		

Figure 1. The gears the fishing power of which is defined directly.

Fishing power Determined Fishing gear parameters Radius Coeffiof at- cient tracof fishing FORMULA tion DIAGRAM continui-FISH PUMPS LIFTING NETS

- x) T and t_I The period of time during a day when the lamp is switched on and fish pumps and nets are respectively used.
- x) Duration of the cycle from lift to lift

Figure 2. The gears the fishing power of which is defined experimentally.

Mahan nan	Fi			
Fishing gear	Diagram	Formula	la Basis	
SET GILL NETS		$J = \frac{\pi(l)^2}{4} a^{\pi l}$	270 9ctcVarc 4 9arc.	
TRAPS		$J = \frac{\pi \ell^2}{4} \alpha \frac{\pi}{4}$	Peny GalVere. 4 Pet.c.	
HOOKED FISHING GEARS	T	$J = \frac{4}{3} I L R^3 \Pi \frac{4}{3} I$	iRn≃πl²nα 4	

Figure 3. The gears the fishing power of which is defined by comparison of catches.